UNITED STATES DIST	RICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to assignment to appropriate calendar.	be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of
Address of Plaintiff: 9601 Mason Avenue, chats	worth CA
Address of Defendant: Robert Foster - 6 Lucky Ln, 6	Rughor town, PA 18957-1057
All whim some and	prepried within this
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: THE WIFT AGENTURES (Use Reverse Side For.	
Does tall civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation	and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)	
Does this case involve multidistrict titigation possibilities?	Yes O No
RELATED CASE IF ANY: CASE Number: 5:11-CV-05060 Judge Berle M. Schiller	D. 4. Down in seeds
Case Number: 3.11°CV-03060 Judge Deric 11. Scarver	Date Terminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to say of the following questions:	•
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one y	ear previously terminated action in this court?
	Yes No 🗆
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior	sult pending or within one year proviously terminated
action in this court?	Yes No D
 Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier 	
terminated action in this court?	Yes No IZ
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro so civil righ	to see filed by the game individual?
4. Is this case a second of successive hadeas corpus, social security appear, or jive so over the	Yes \ No \
COURT ON A PROCESS ON ONLY	
CIVIL: (Place V in ONE CATEGORY ONLY) A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
I. Indomnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	I. D Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. □ FELA	2. Airplane Personal Injury
3. D Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. ☐ Assault, Defamation
4. O Antimist	4. D Marine Personal Injury
5. Patent	5, D Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6. Labor-Management Relations	6. D Other Personal Injury (Please
	specify)
7. D Civil Rights	7. Products Liability
8. Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos
9. U Securities Act(s) Cases	9. All other Diversity Cases
10. Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)
11, All other Federal Question Cases	'
(Please specify) ARBITRATION CERT	rification '
Check Appropriate C Commel of record do horeby cert	Category) ify:
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	i denet, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of
U Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	
DATE: 10/13/11	#83018
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if the	ere has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.

I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.

DATE: Attorney-at-Law Attorney I.D.#

CIV. 609 (6/08)

,	
UNITED STATES DIST	RICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to lassignment to appropriate calondar.	be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of
Address of Plaintiff: 9601 Klason Avenue, chats	worth, CA
Address of Defendant: Robert Foster - 6 Lucky Ln, a	Rughor town, PA 18957-1057
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: All Intringoments	ocerred within this
Wasdintinnal Alstrict (Use Reverse Side For	• •
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation of	and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))) Yez 🗆 No 🖸
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yeso No
RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Case Number: <u>5:11-CV-05060</u> Judgo <u>Berle M. Schiller</u>	Date Terminated;
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	
I. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one y	/ear previously terminated action in this count?
Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior action in this court?	sult pending or within one year previously terminated
. 3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier.	Yes No No
terminated action in this court?	Yes D No D
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil righ	nts case filed by the same individual?
	Yes No No
CIVIL: (Place 🗸 in ONE CATEGORY ONLY) A. Federal Question Cases;	B, Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
I. U Indomnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. D Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. 🗆 FELA	2. Airplane Personal Injury
3. D Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. Assault, Defamation
4. D Antitrust	4. D Marine Personal Injury
5. Patent	5. D Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6. D Labor-Management Relations	6. D Other Personal Injury (Please
	specify)
7. 🗆 Civil Rights	7. Products Liability
8. 🗆 Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos
9, D Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)
11. All other Federal Question Cases	•
(Please specify)	PIETCIA TYCINI
ARBITRATION CERT	
I. Christopher Y. Hore counsel of record do horeby cert Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and	tify: d belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	
DATE: 10 13 11	# 83018 Attorney I.D.#
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if the	
1 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2	wwithin one year proviously termineted sellen in this court
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or except as noted above.	r minur one lent brettoest communes action in one conte
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Attomey-at-Law

CIV. 609 (6/08)

Attorney LD.#

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

K-Beech, Inc.	:	CIVIL ACTION	
ν.	•		
K-Beech, Inc. Robert Foster		NO.	
In accordance with the Civil Justice Experimental complete a Case Management filing the complaint and serve a copy on all side of this form.) In the event that a designation, that defendant shall, with its the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Mount of the case which that defendant believes the case of the case	ent Track Designation I defendants. (See § 1 efendant does not ap first appearance, sub Aanagement Track D	n Form in all civil cases at the ti I:03 of the plan set forth on the re gree with the plaintiff regarding mit to the clerk of court and ser	me of verse g said ve on
SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING	CASE MANAGEM	IENT TRACKS:	
(a) Habeas Corpus - Cases brought under	r 28 U.S.C. § 2241 tl	rough § 2255.	()
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting rev and Human Services denying plaintiff	()		
(c) Arbitration - Cases required to be des	ignated for arbitratio	on under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	()
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for exposure to asbestos.	r personal injury or p	property damage from	()
(e) Special Management — Cases that do a commonly referred to as complex and the court. (See reverse side of this for management cases.)	l that need special or	intense management by	w
(f) Standard Management - Cases that do	not fall into any on	e of the other tracks.	()
44 1.1.2	by-at-law 56-9255	Plaintiff Attorney for Chore forebo	ber.com
Telephone FAX N	Tumber	E-Mail Address	
(Chr. 660), 10/02			•

(Clv. 660) 10/02

Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan Section 1:03 - Assignment to a Management Track

- (a) The clerk of court will assign cases to tracks (a) through (d) based on the initial pleading.
- (b) In all cases not appropriate for assignment by the clerk of court to tracks (a) through (d), the plaintiff shall submit to the clerk of court and serve with the complaint on all defendants a case management track designation form specifying that the plaintiff believes the case requires Standard Management or Special Management. In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a case management track designation form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.
- (c) The court may, on its own initiative or upon the request of any party, change the track assignment of any case at any time.
- (d) Nothing in this Plan is intended to abrogate or limit a judicial officer's authority in any case pending before that judicial officer, to direct pretrial and trial proceedings that are more stringent than those of the Plan and that are designed to accomplish cost and delay reduction.
- (e) Nothing in this Plan is intended to supersede Local Civil Rules 40.1 and 72.1, or the procedure for random assignment of Habeas Corpus and Social Security cases referred to magistrate judges of the court.

SPECIAL MANAGEMENT CASE ASSIGNMENTS (See §1.02 (e) Management Track Definitions of the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan)

Special Management cases will usually include that class of cases commonly referred to as "complex litigation" as that term has been used in the Manuals for Complex Litigation. The first manual was prepared in 1969 and the Manual for Complex Litigation Second, MCL 2d was prepared in 1985. This term is intended to include cases that present unusual problems and require extraordinary treatment. See §0.1 of the first manual. Cases may require special or intense management by the court due to one or more of the following factors: (1) large number of parties; (2) large number of claims or defenses; (3) complex factual issues; (4) large volume of evidence; (5) problems locating or preserving evidence; (6) extensive discovery; (7) exceptionally long time needed to prepare for disposition; (8) decision needed within an exceptionally short time; and (9) need to decide preliminary issues before final disposition. It may include two or more related cases. Complex litigation typically includes such cases as antitrust cases; cases involving a large number of parties or an unincorporated association of large membership; cases involving requests for injunctive relief affecting the operation of large business entities; patent cases; copyright and trademark cases; common disaster cases such as those arising from aircraft crashes or marine disasters; actions brought by individual stockholders; stockholder's derivative and stockholder's representative actions; class actions or potential class actions; and other civil (and criminal) cases involving unusual multiplicity or complexity of factual issues. See §0.22 of the first Manual for Complex Litigation and Manual for Complex Litigation Second, Chapter 33.

SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS	distance	A. A. A. Tribuna
K-Beech, Inc., a California corporation		Robert Foster		•	
` '	of First Listed Plaintiff Chatswo	orth, CA	County of Residence o	f First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O	Quakertown ONLY)
			1	D CONDEMNATION CASES, US NVOLVED.	E THE LOCATION OF THE
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name	, Address, and Telephone Number)		Attorneys (If Known)		
	Fiore & Barber, 425 Main S	treet, Suite 200			
Harleysville, PA 19438				44	<u> </u>
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in One Box		ITIZENSHIP OF P (For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)
U I U.S. Government Plaintiff	図 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		zen of This State		PTF DEF
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties)	1	en of Another State	2	
			en or Subject of a	3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation	0606
IV. NATURE OF SUI	Γ (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	l Fo	oreign Country		
CONTRACT	TORTS				
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	310 Airplane	rersonal Injury - 62 fied. Malpractice 62 ersonal Injury -	10 Agriculture 20 Other Food & Drug 25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	Slander 🗂 368 A	sbestos Personal 🛛 64	30 Liquor Laws 40 R.R. & Truck	PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights	☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted			50 Airline Reys. 60 Occupational	☐ 830 Patent ☐ 840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit
		NAL PROPERTY 1 69	Safety/Health 90 Other		☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 810 Selective Service
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability 🛱 371 To	ruth in Lending	LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards	SOCIAL SECURITY	850 Securities/Commodifies/ Exchange
160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Pr	operty Damage	Act	☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410
☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 360 Other Personal Pa		20 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 30 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting	☐ 864 S\$ID Title XVI	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions
☐ 196 Franchise REALEPROPERTY	Injury CIVIL RIGHTS PRISON	ER PETITIONS 7	& Disclosure Act 40 Railway Labor Act	☐ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS	891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act
***			90 Other Labor Litigation 91 Empl. Ret. Inc.	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	☐ 893 Environmental Matters ☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 443 Housing/ Haber	as Corpus:	Security Act	☐ 871 IRS—Third Party	☐ 895 Freedom of Information
☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability		eath Penalty	IMMIGRATION	26 USC 7609	Act 900Appeal of Fee Determination
290 All Other Real Property			62 Naturalization Application 63 Habeas Corpus -		Under Equal Access to Justice
		rison Condition	Alien Detainee 65 Other Immigration		☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
	440 Other Civil Rights		Actions		· ·
⊠1 Original □ 2 Re	m "X" in One Box Only) moved from	Court Reo	pened anothe	ferred from 6 Multidistrer district Litigation	Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment
	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under	r which you are filing	(Do not cite jurisdiction:	al statutes unless diversity):	+
VI, CAUSE OF ACTION	ON Brief description of cause: Copyright Intingement				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLAUNDER F.R.C.P. 23	ASS ACTION D 150,00	DEMAND S 0.00	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: Ø Yes ☐ No
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE	SIGN	ATURE OF ATTORNEY	OF RECORD	<u> </u>	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	·				
RECEIPT# A	MOUNT AP	PLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	OGE

JS 44 Reverse (Rev. 12/07)

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I. (a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.C.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerks in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment. (7) Check this box for an appeal from a magistrate judge's decision.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.

 Example:
 U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553
 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

Demand. In this space enter the dollar amount (in thousands of dollars) being demanded or indicate other demand such as a preliminary injunction.

Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Eastern District of Pennsylvania			
	Eastern District of	remisyivama	
K-Beech, I	nc.		
Plaintiff)		
v.	ý	Civil Action No.	
Robert Fos	ter))		
Defendant).		
	SUMMONS IN A C	IVIL ACTION	
To: (Defendant's name and address)	Robert Foster 6 Lucky Ln		
	Quakertown, PA 18951-1051		
are the United States or a United P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must s	rvice of this summons on you (d States agency, or an officer of serve on the plaintiff an answer	not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you remployee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of ust be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,	
If you fail to respond, ju You also must file your answer		red against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.	
	·	Michael E. Kunz	
		Clerk of Court	
Date:		Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk	
		g	

AO 440 (Rev. 12/09) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

☐ I personally serv	ved the summons on the individual a	† (place)	
		on (date)	; or
☐ I left the summo	ons at the individual's residence or u	sual place of abode with (name)	
	, a person o	f suitable age and discretion who resid	des there,
on (date)	, and mailed a copy to t	he individual's last known address; or	
☐ I served the sum	mons on (name of individual)		, who is
designated by law	to accept service of process on behal		- 1.1.1
		on (date)	; or
☐ I returned the su	mmons unexecuted because		; or
☐ Other (specify):			
(-yyy,			
My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00
My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00
·	for travel and \$alty of perjury that this information	•	0.00
•		•	0.00
·		is true.	0.00
·		•	0.00
·		is true. Server's signature	0.00
·		is true.	0.00
·		is true. Server's signature	0.00
·		is true. Server's signature	0.00

		X	
K-BEECH, INC.,		:	
11 222011, 11 10.,		:	Civil Action No.
	Plaintiff,	:	
	vs.	:	
ROBERT FOSTER,		: :	
	Defendant.	: :	
		X	
COMPLAINT			

Plaintiff, K-Beech, Inc., by and through its counsel, Fiore & Barber, LLC, Robert Foster, who was, at all relevant times, the subscriber of IP address 71.175.185.215 and alleges:

Introduction

- 1. This matter arises under the United States Copyright Act of 1976, as amended, 17 U.S.C. §§ 101 et seq. (the "Copyright Act").
 - 2. Through this suit, Plaintiff allege Defendant is liable for:
 - Direct copyright infringement in violation of 17 U.S.C. §§ 106 and 501; and
 - Contributory copyright infringement.

Jurisdiction And Venue

3. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question); and 28 U.S.C. § 1338 (patents, copyrights, trademarks and unfair competition).

- 4. The Defendant's acts of copyright infringement occurred using Internet Protocol address 71.175.185.215 ("IP address") traced to a physical address located within this District, and therefore this Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendant because (a) Defendant committed the tortious conduct alleged in this Complaint in the Eastern District of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and (i) Defendant resides in Eastern District of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and/or (ii) has engaged in substantial and not isolated business activity in the Eastern District of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- 5. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c), because: (i) a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this District; and, (ii) the Defendant resides (and therefore can be found) in this District and resides in this State; additionally, venue is proper in this District pursuant 28 U.S.C. § 1400(a) (venue for copyright cases) because Defendant or Defendant's agent resides or may be found in this District.

Parties

- 6. Plaintiff, K-Beech, Inc., is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California and has its principal place of business located at 9601 Mason Avenue, Unit B, Chatsworth, California.
- 7. Defendant, Robert Foster, is an individual who is a resident of the state of Pennsylvania. Defendant's IP address is 71.175.185.215.
- 8. An IP address is a number that is assigned by an Internet Service Provider (an "ISP") to devices, such as computers, that are connected to the Internet.
- 9. The ISP to which Defendant subscribes, Verizon Internet Services, can correlate the Defendant's IP address to the Defendant's true identity.

Factual Background

- I. Plaintiff Own the Copyright to a Motion Picture
- 10. On or about April 22, 2011, Plaintiff, K-Beech, Inc. submitted an application for Copyright Registration (Service Request Number 1-599790162) for the motion picture titled "Virgins 4" (the "Work").
- 11. Copy of the applications for Copyright Registration evidencing, among other things, Plaintiff's ownership of the Work and application dates are attached as Exhibit A.
 - II. <u>Defendant Used BitTorrent To Infringe Plaintiff's Copyright</u>
- 12. BitTorrent is one of the most common peer-to-peer file sharing protocols (in other words, set of computer rules) used for distributing large amounts of data; indeed, it has been estimated that users using the BitTorrent protocol on the internet account for over a quarter of all internet traffic. The creators and user's of BitTorrent developed their own lexicon for use when talking about BitTorrent, which can be found on www.wikipedia.org.
- 13. The BitTorrent protocol's popularity stems from its ability to distribute a large file without creating a heavy load on the source computer and network. In short, to reduce the load on the source computer, rather than downloading a file from a single source computer (one computer directly connected to another), the BitTorrent protocol allows users to join a "swarm" of host computers to download and upload from each other simultaneously (one computer connected to numerous computers).
 - A. <u>Defendant Installed a BitTorrent Client onto his or her Computer</u>
 - 14. Defendant installed a BitTorrent Client onto his or her computer.
- 15. A BitTorrent "Client" is a software program that implements the BitTorent protocol. There are numerous such software programs including µTorrent and Vuze, both of

which can be directly downloaded from the internet. <u>See www.utorrent.com</u> and http://new.vuze-downloads.com/.

- 16. Once installed on a computer, the BitTorrent "Client" serves as the user's interface during the process of uploading and downloading data using the BitTorrent protocol.
 - B. The Initial Seed, Torrent, Hash and Tracker
- 17. A BitTorrent user that wants to upload a new file, known as an "initial seeder," starts by creating a "torrent" descriptor file using the Client he or she installed onto his or her computer.
- 18. The Client takes the target computer file, the "initial seed," here the copyrighted Work, and divides it into groups of bits known as "pieces."
- 19. The Client then gives each one of the computer file's pieces, in this case, pieces of the copyrighted Work, a random and unique alphanumeric identifier known as a "<u>hash</u>" and records these hash identifiers in the torrent file.
- 20. When another peer later receives a particular piece, the hash identifier for that piece is compared to the hash identifier recorded in the torrent file for that piece to test that the piece is error-free. In this way, the hash identifier Work like an electronic fingerprint to identify the source and origin of the piece and that the piece is authentic and uncorrupted.
- 21. Torrent files also have an "announce" section, which specifies the <u>URL</u> (Uniform Resource Locator) of a "tracker," and an "info" section, containing (suggested) names for the files, their lengths, the piece length used, and the <u>hash identifier</u> for each piece, all of which are used by Clients on peer computers to verify the integrity of the data they receive.
- 22. The "tracker" is a computer or set of computers that a torrent file specifies and to which the torrent file provides peers with the URL address (es).

4

- 23. The tracker computer or computers direct a peer user's computer to other peer user's computers that have particular pieces of the file, here the copyrighted Work, on them and facilitates the exchange of data among the computers.
- 24. Depending on the BitTorrent Client, a tracker can either be a dedicated computer (centralized tracking) or each peer can act as a tracker (decentralized tracking).

C. Torrent Sites

- 25. "Torrent sites" are websites that index torrent files that are currently being made available for copying and distribution by people using the BitTorrent protocol. There are numerous torrent websites, including www.TorrentZap.com, www.Btscene.com, and www.ExtraTorrent.com.
- 26. Upon information and belief, Defendant went to a torrent site to upload and download Plaintiff's copyrighted Work.

D. Uploading and Downloading a Work Through a BitTorrent Swarm

- 27. Once the initial seeder has created a torrent and uploaded it onto one or more torrent sites then other peers begin to download and upload the computer file to which the torrent is linked (here the copyrighted Work) using the BitTorrent protocol and BitTorrent Client that the peers installed on their computers.
- 28. The BitTorrent protocol causes the initial seed's computer to send different pieces of the computer file, here the copyrighted Work, to the peers seeking to download the computer file.
- 29. Once a peer receives a piece of the computer file, here a piece of the Copyrighted Work, it starts transmitting that piece to the other peers.

- 30. In this way, all of the peers and seeders are working together in what is called a "swarm."
- 31. Here, Defendant participated in a swarm and directly interacted and communicated with other members of that swarm through digital handshakes, the passing along of computer instructions, uploading and downloading, and by other types of transmissions.
- 32. In this way, and by way of example only, one initial seeder can create a torrent that breaks a movie up into hundreds or thousands of pieces saved in the form of a computer file, like the Work here, upload the torrent onto a torrent site, and deliver a different piece of the copyrighted Work to each of the peers. The recipient peers then automatically begin delivering the piece they just received to the other peers in the same swarm.
- 33. Once a peer, here the Defendant, has downloaded the full file, the BitTorrent Client reassembles the pieces and the peer is able to view the movie. Also, once a peer has downloaded the full file, that peer becomes known as "an additional seed" because it continues to distribute the torrent file, here the copyrighted Work.
 - E. Plaintiff's Computer Investigators Identified the Defendant's IP Addresses as an Infringer in a Swarm That Was Distributing Plaintiff's Work
- 34. Plaintiff retained IPP, Limited ("IPP") to identify the IP addresses that are being used by those people that are using the BitTorrent protocol and the internet to reproduce, distribute, display or perform Plaintiff's copyrighted Work.
- 35. IPP used forensic software named INTERNATIONAL IPTRACKER v1.2.1 and related technology enabling the scanning of peer-to-peer netWork for the presence of infringing transactions.
- 36. IPP extracted the resulting data emanating from the investigation, reviewed the evidence logs, and isolated the transactions and the IP addresses associated therewith for the file

6

identified by the SHA-1 hash value of FB4FA00EBAA9E332B559C1BD36EB5197A96C6541 (the "Unique Hash Number").

- 37. The IP addresses, Unique Hash Number and hit dates show Defendant had copied a piece of Plaintiff's copyrighted Work identified by the Unique Hash Number, and was distributing it to other peers in a BitTorrent swarm on:
 - a. June 2, 2011 at 14:56 UTC.
- 38. Through the transaction(s), the Defendant's computer used its IP address to connect to the investigative server from a computer in this District in order to transmit a full copy, or a portion thereof, of a digital media file identified by the Unique Hash Numbers.
- 39. IPP's agent analyzed each BitTorrent "piece" distributed by Defendant verified that re-assemblage of the piece(s) using a BitTorrent Client results in a fully playable digital motion picture of the Work.
- 40. IPP's agent viewed the Work side-by-side with the digital media file that correlates to the Unique Hash Numbers and determined that they were identical, strikingly similar or substantially similar.

Miscellaneous

- 41. All conditions precedent to bringing this action have occurred or been waived.
- 42. Plaintiff has retained counsel and is obligated to pay said counsel a reasonable fee for its services.

COUNT I <u>Direct Infringement Against Defendant.</u>

- 43. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1-42 are hereby re-alleged as if fully set forth herein.
 - 44. Plaintiff is the owner of the copyrighted Work which contains an original work of

authorship.

- 45. By using the BitTorrent protocol and a BitTorrent Client and the processes described above, Defendant copied one or more of the constituent elements of the copyrighted Work that are original.
 - 46. Plaintiff did not authorize, permit or consent to Defendant's copying of its Work.
 - 47. As a result of the foregoing, Defendant violated Plaintiff's exclusive right to:
 - (A) Reproduce the Work in copies, in violation of 17 U.S.C. §§ 106(1) and 501;
- (B) Redistribute copies of the Work to the public by sale or other transfer of ownership, or by rental, lease or lending, in violation of 17 U.S.C. §§ 106(3) and 501;
- (C) Perform the copyrighted Work, in violation of 17 U.S.C. §§ 106(4) and 501, by showing the Work's images in any sequence and/or by making the sounds accompanying the Work audible and transmitting said performance of the Work, by means of a device or process, to members of the public capable of receiving the display (as set forth in 17 U.S.C. § 101's definitions of "perform" and "publically" perform); and
- (D) Display the copyrighted Work, in violation of 17 U.S.C. §§ 106(5) and 501, by showing individual images of the Work nonsequentially and transmitting said display of the Work by means of a device or process to members of the public capable of receiving the display (as set forth in 17 U.S.C. § 101's definition of "publically" display).
- 48. Defendant's infringements were committed "willfully" within the meaning of 17 U.S.C. § 504(c)(2).
- 49. Plaintiff has suffered actual damages that were proximately caused by the Defendant's infringements, including lost sales, price erosion and a diminution of the value of its copyright.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully request that the Court:

- (A) Permanently enjoin Defendant and all other infringers who are in active concert or participation with Defendant from continuing to infringe Plaintiff's copyrighted Work;
- (B) Order that Defendant delete and permanently remove the torrent file relating to Plaintiff's copyrighted Work from each of the computers under Defendant's possession, custody or control;
- (C) Order that Defendant delete and permanently remove the copy of the Work Defendant has on the computers under Defendant's possession, custody or control;
- (D) Award Plaintiff either their actual damages and any additional profits of the Defendant pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504-(a)-(b); or statutory damages in the amount of \$150,000 pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504-(a) and (c);
- (E) Award Plaintiff their reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505; and
 - (F) Grant Plaintiff any other and further relief this Court deems just and proper.

COUNT II Contributory Infringement Against Defendant.

- 50. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1-42 are hereby re-alleged as if fully set forth herein.
- 51. Plaintiff is the owner of the Registration for the Work which contains an original work of authorship.
- 52. By using the BitTorrent protocol and a BitTorrent Client and the processes described above, Defendant copied the constituent elements of the copyrighted Work that are original.
 - 53. By participating in the BitTorrent swarm with other infringers, the Defendant

9

induced, caused or materially contributed to the infringing conduct of the other peer infringers in the swarm.

- 54. Plaintiff did not authorize, permit or consent to Defendant's inducing, causing or materially contributing to the infringing conduct of other peer infringers.
- 55. Defendant knew or should have known that other BitTorrent users, here other infringers would become members of a swarm with Defendant.
- 56. Defendant knew or should have known that other BitTorrent users in a swarm with it, here other infringers, were directly infringing Plaintiff's copyrighted Work by copying one or more of the constituent elements of the copyrighted Work that are original.
- 57. Indeed, Defendant directly participated in and therefore materially contributed to each other participants' infringing activities.
- 58. Defendant's contributory infringements were committed "willfully" within the meaning of 17 U.S.C. § 504(c)(2).
- 59. Plaintiff has suffered actual damages that were proximately caused by the Defendant and each of the peer infringers including lost sales, price erosion, and a diminution of the value of its copyright.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully request that the Court:

- (A) Permanently enjoin Defendant and all other infringers who are in active concert or participation with Defendant from continuing to infringe Plaintiff's copyrighted Work;
- (B) Order that Defendant delete and permanently remove the torrent file relating to Plaintiff's copyrighted Work from each of the computers under Defendant's possession, custody or control;
 - (C) Order that Defendant delete and permanently remove the copy of the Work

Defendant has on the computers under Defendant's possession, custody or control;

(D) Find that Defendant is jointly and severally liable for the direct infringement of

each other infringer that Defendant induced, caused or materially contributed to commit an

infringement;

(E) Award Plaintiff either their actual damages and any additional profits made by

Defendant pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504-(a)-(b); or statutory damages in the amount of \$150,000

pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504-(a) and (c);

(F) Award Plaintiff their reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §

505; and

(G) Grant Plaintiff any other and further relief this Court deems just and proper.

DEMAND FOR A JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Respectfully submitted,

FIORE & BARBER, LLC

By:

/s/ Christopher Fiore Christopher P. Fiore, Esquire Aman M. Barber, III, Esquire Attorneys for Plaintiff 425 Main Street, Suite 200 Harleysville, PA 19438

Tel: (215) 256-0205 Fax: (215) 256-9205

Email: cfiore@fiorebarber.com

-APPLICATION-

Title Title of Work: Virgins #4 Completion/Publication -Year of Completion: 2011 Date of 1st Publication: February 9, 2011 Nation of 1st Publication: United States Author -Author: K-Beech, Inc., dba Cherry Boxxx Pictures Author Created: editing/editor. production/producer Work made for hire: Yes Citizen of: United States Domiciled in: United States Copyright claimant Copyright Claimant: K-Beech, Inc., dba Cherry Boxxx Pictures 9601 Mason Ave., Unit B, Chatsworth, CA, 91311, United States **Rights and Permissions** Organization Name: K-Beech, Inc. Name: Jeff Snyder Email: jeffs@kbeech.com Address: 9601 Mason Ave., Unit B Chatsworth, CA 91311 United States Certification Name: Jeff Snyder Date: April 22, 2011

EXHIBIT A

Page 1 of 1

Registration #:

Service Request #: 1-599790162

Priority: Routine

Application Date: April 22, 2011 12:25:29 PM

Correspondent

Organization Name: K-Beech, Inc.

Name: Jeff Snyder

Email: jeffs@kbeech.com

Address: 9601 Mason Ave., Unit B ...

Chatsworth, CA 91311 United States

Mail Certificate

K-Beech, Inc. Jeff Snyder

9601 Mason Ave.

Unit B

Chatsworth, CA 91311 United States